

## DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

*At a meeting of the Development Control Committee on Monday, 14 December 2020 held remotely*

Present: Councillors Nolan (Chair), Carlin, R. Hignett, V. Hill, J. Lowe, C. Plumpton Walsh, June Roberts, Thompson, Woolfall and Zygadlo

Apologies for Absence: None

Absence declared on Council business: None

Officers present: A. Jones, T. Gibbs, A. Plant, J. Eaton, G. Henry, P. Peak, L. Woodward and R. Cooper

Also in attendance: 13 members of the public and one member of the press

### ITEMS DEALT WITH UNDER DUTIES EXERCISABLE BY THE COMMITTEE

*Action*

*The Committee took part in a minute's silence in honour of Councillor Morley, who had sadly passed away last month. The Chair paid tribute to him on behalf of Members and colleagues, saying that Councillor Morley was a long standing Member of the Development Control Committee, who acted with loyalty and made a huge contribution in his role as Vice Chair. He would be greatly missed.*

#### DEV21 MINUTES

The Minutes of the meeting held on 2 November 2020, having been circulated, were taken as read and signed as a correct record.

#### DEV22 PLANNING APPLICATIONS TO BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMITTEE

The Committee considered the following applications for planning permission and, in accordance with its powers and duties, made the decisions described below.

#### DEV23 20/00026/OUT - OUTLINE APPLICATION, WITH ALL MATTERS OTHER THAN ACCESS RESERVED FOR DEVELOPMENT COMPRISING UP TO 42 APARTMENTS AND ANCILLARY WORKS AT LAND BOUNDED BY MILL LANE AND DERBY ROAD, WIDNES, CHESHIRE

The consultation procedure undertaken was outlined in the report together with background information in respect of the site.

The Committee agreed that the application be approved, subject to the conditions listed below.

RESOLVED: That the application be approved subject to:

- a) The entering into a legal or other agreement relating to securing financial contributions towards open space;
- b) Conditions relating to the following:
  1. Standard outline conditions for the submission of reserved matters applications (BE1);
  2. Plans condition listing relevant drawings i.e. site location / red edge, access details (BE1 and TP17);
  3. Submission and agreement of a submission of a construction / traffic management plan which would include wheel cleansing details, hours of construction and deliveries (BE1);
  4. Submission of existing and agreement of proposed site levels ( BE1);
  5. Submission and agreement of external facing materials (BE1 and BE2);
  6. Conditions for the submission and agreement of boundary treatments, landscaping, management and maintenance (BE1 and BE22);
  7. Tree protection measures outlined in arboricultural report (GE27);
  8. Breeding birds protection (GE21 and CS20);
  9. Bird nesting boxes scheme (GE21 and CS20);
  10. Hedgehog reasonable avoidance measures (GE21 and CS20);
  11. Method statement for the irradiation of invasive species (GE21 and CS20);
  12. Electric Vehicle Charging Points Scheme (CS19);
  13. Parking, access and servicing provision (BE1, TP6, TP7, TP12, TP15 and TP17);
  14. Submission of revised noise report with reserved matters (PR2);
  15. Submission of ground investigation report, mitigation measures and validation (PR14 and CS23);
  16. Drainage strategy based on the SUDS hierarchy, including its implementation, maintenance,

- management, and verification of the scheme (PR16 and CS23);
17. Foul and surface water on a separate system (PR16 and CS23);
  18. Waste Audit – (WM8);
  19. Submission and implementation of an archaeological watching brief (CS20); and
  20. Provision of affordable housing (CS13).

And

- c) That if the S106 Agreement or alternative arrangement was not executed within a reasonable period of time, authority be delegated to the Operational Director – Policy, Planning and Transportation, in consultation with the Chair or Vice Chair of the Committee to refuse the application.

*Due to connection problems, Councillor Zygadlo missed part of the Officer's and speaker's presentations to the Committee for the item below, so he was not permitted to vote on this application.*

DEV24 20/00337/OUTEIA - OUTLINE PLANNING PERMISSION, WITH ALL MATTERS RESERVED EXCEPT FOR ACCESS, FOR THE RESIDENTIAL LED MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT OF THE SITE, COMPRISING RESIDENTIAL (USE CLASS C3), EMPLOYMENT (USE CLASS B1) AND LOCAL CENTRE USES (USE CLASS A1-A4/D1) AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE, LANDSCAPING AND LAND REMODELLING ON LAND AT DARESBUY PARK, WARRINGTON WA7 4BB

The consultation procedure undertaken was outlined in the report together with background information in respect of the site.

It was noted that further consultee responses had been received from Natural England; Highways and Transportation; and Preston Brook Parish Council. The additional recommended conditions resulting from these were presented in the AB Update List, together with details of four additional representations received.

Officers advised that since the publication of the report and update list Appleton Parish Council had raised concerns regarding additional traffic generation in areas of Stockton Heath, Appleton, Stretton, Hatton and Walton. However, Warrington Borough Council's Highways Engineer had advised that they had no objection to the proposed development.

The Committee was addressed by Mr William Tavernor, who objected to the proposals on behalf of local residents from Preston Brook, at Bankside and Quay Place. He informed the Committee that residents felt under represented due to the fact that only one objector was permitted to speak to the Committee. He argued that:

- The proposal was in fact two developments put together as one – Daresbury Business Park and a housing development;
- The take up on the existing business development on Daresbury Park is low so why build more offices;
- The housing proposals are on a greenbelt site;
- There would be an adverse impact on wildlife and habitats;
- This was one of a few remaining areas of natural beauty in Halton – a brownfield site would be more suitable for this development so these sites should be looked at;
- There was no guarantee of jobs for local people as workers on construction sites typically come from outside the Borough; and
- Extra housing would add pressure on amenities and traffic in Runcorn, for example, Runcorn Shopping City was over used whilst across the bridge in Widnes, Tesco Extra was under used.

Mr Jamie Lynch, a representative from the Client's planning agent then addressed the Committee. He advised that due to the reduction in demand for office development in recent times it was necessary to look at a new approach so an employment study was undertaken, which advised that a mixed-use development would be more appropriate for the site. He added that the proposals were supported by the emerging Delivery and Allocations Local Plan (DALP), which now identified the site for employment and residential development.

He added that:

- That the development would include 350 much needed new homes, including 25% affordable;
- It would generate new jobs during construction and long term employment opportunities once completed;
- The local centre would support the new community;
- There were no objections from statutory consultees;

- All representations were dealt with in the reports;
- There would be Section 106 contributions towards enhancing public transport and public rights of way; and
- He confirmed that there was no intention to close Red Brow Lane.

Clarity was provided to Members over questions relating to the reference to World War II features in the report and the retention of access to Red Brow Lane, which was also a key route for pedestrians and cyclists. It was commented that as the site was now allocated for employment and residential uses (mixed uses), this proposal was acceptable and complied with planning policies. The Committee agreed that the application be approved, subject to the conditions listed below.

RESOLVED: That the application be approved subject to:

- a) The entering into a Legal or other agreement relating to a financial contribution in lieu of on-site open space provision; financial contribution towards the improvement of public rights of way including railway crossing; and financial contribution towards sustainable transport improvements.
- b) Conditions relating to the following:
  1. Standard outline conditions for the submission of reserved matters applications (BE1);
  2. Condition setting out the parameters of the permission including number of dwellings, permitted uses and floor space (BE1);
  3. Condition for a phasing plan (BE1);
  4. Plans condition listing relevant drawings i.e. site location / red edge, access details (BE1 and TP17);
  5. Submission and agreement of a submission of a construction environmental management plan which will include, dust mitigation wheel cleansing details, hours of construction and deliveries (BE1);
  6. Submission of ground investigation report, mitigation measures and validation (PR14 and CS23);
  7. Submission of existing and agreement of proposed site levels ( BE1);
  8. Submission and agreement of external facing materials (BE1 and BE2);
  9. Condition(s) for the submission and agreement of

- boundary treatments, landscaping, management and maintenance (BE1 and BE22);
10. Condition(s) for tree report and protection measures (GE27);
  11. Conditions(s) for breeding birds protection and bird nesting boxes scheme (GE21 and CS20);
  12. Condition(s) securing the protection and mitigation measures for bats (GE21 and CS20);
  13. Condition(s) for badger and hedgehog reasonable avoidance measures (GE21 and CS20);
  14. Method statement for the irradiation of invasive species (GE21 and CS20);
  15. Submission of a biodiversity action plan (GE21 and CS20);
  16. Electric Vehicle Charging Points Scheme (CS19);
  17. Condition(s) for parking, access and servicing provision (BE1, TP6, TP7, TP12, TP15 and TP17);
  18. Submission of noise report (PR2);
  19. Drainage strategy based on the SUDS hierarchy, including its implementation, maintenance, management, and verification of the scheme (PR16 and CS23);
  20. Foul and surface water on a separate system (PR16 and CS23);
  21. Waste Audit (WM8);
  22. Submission and implementation of a scheme for archaeological work (CS20);
  23. Provision of affordable housing (CS13);
  24. Submission and approval of landscape and ecology management plan (LEMP) (GE21 and CS20);
  25. Condition to protect and enhance the Red Brow Cutting SSSI (GE21 and CS20); and
  26. Submission of details, agreement and implementation of the provision for bus infrastructure (TP1).

And

- c) That if the S106 Agreement or alternative arrangement was not executed within a reasonable period of time, authority be delegated to the Operational Director – Policy, Planning and Transportation, in consultation with the Chair or Vice Chair of the Committee to refuse the application.

DEV25 20/00355/FUL - PROPOSED DEMOLITION OF EXISTING SINGLE STOREY OFFICE AND REPLACEMENT WITH A NEW TWO STOREY OFFICE AND JOINING OF THE TWO EXISTING INDUSTRIAL UNITS WITH A LINK EXTENSION AT UNIT 2 GODDARD ROAD, ASTMOOR INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, RUNCORN, WA7 1QF

The consultation procedure undertaken was outlined in the report together with background information in respect of the site.

The Committee noted the response from the Council's Contaminated Land Officer, as presented in the supplementary AB Update List and that he raised no objection, subject to the requirement of investigation and assessment of the site be conditioned, should the application be approved. The Committee agreed that the application be approved, subject to the conditions listed below.

RESOLVED: That the application be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. Time;
2. Approved plans;
3. Materials;
4. Ground contamination;
5. Vehicle access, parking and servicing construction prior to occupation;
6. Cycle parking details;
7. Electric vehicle charging details;
8. Drainage;
9. Site and finished floor levels; and
10. Site waste management plan and onsite waste storage and management.

DEV26 20/00371/FUL - PROPOSED ERECTION OF A BUILDING TO BE USED AS OFFICES (USE CLASS B1) AND AS A SELF-STORAGE FACILITY (USE CLASS B8) PLUS ASSOCIATED LANDSCAPING, IMPROVED ACCESS AND OTHER ASSOCIATED WORKS ON LAND AT THE JUNCTION OF EARLE ROAD AND CARTER HOUSE WAY, WIDNES, WA8 0WR

The consultation procedure undertaken was outlined in the report together with background information in respect of the site.

It was reported that updated drainage information had been provided by the applicant in line with requests made by

the Council's Lead Local Flood Authority and United Utilities. Their responses to this information was provided in the supplementary AB Update List and noted by the Committee.

Mr Bruce Risk, who represented the agent for the planning application, addressed the Committee. He introduced the family run business to Members stating that they had established in 2006, were expanding in the area, and considered this site key for their expansion plans. He stated that the facility would create new jobs on what is currently a vacant site; it would complement existing businesses in the area; all statutory consultees were supportive and all material conditions had been addressed in the officer's report.

The Committee agreed that the application be approved subject to the conditions listed below.

RESOLVED: That the application be approved subject to conditions relating to the following:

1. Time;
2. Approved plans;
3. Use restriction;
4. Materials;
5. Site levels;
6. Vehicle access, parking and servicing construction prior to occupation;
7. Cycle parking details;
8. Electric vehicle charging details;
9. New crossing point over Earle Road;
10. Breeding bird protection;
11. Bird nesting boxes;
12. RAMS for terrestrial mammals;
13. RAMS for amphibians;
14. Contaminated land;
15. Site waste management plan;
16. Drainage and flood risk;
17. Protection of United Utilities assets; and
18. No additional temporary structures or external storage.

*Meeting ended at 7.10 p.m.*